



**RURAL ELECTRIFICATION AND RENEWABLE ENERGY CORPORATION**

The following clarification were sought by a bidder for **RFx 1000001467: FRAMEWORK CONTRACT FOR PROVISION OF CORPORATE BRANDING SERVICES** and clarified as follows;

<b>No.</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Bidder's Query</b>	<b>REREC's Response</b>
<b>1.</b>	ITT 13.1 (i) - 19,20,21	Whether the requirement for manufacturer's authorization and warranty is mandatory for all bidders, including those undertaking in-house fabrication and branding works.	This requirement is mandatory only for specific materials with industry-standard certifications (specific paint systems). For general fabrication (e.g., PVC card, aluminum), technical datasheets or supplier warranties adhering to KEBS standards are acceptable proof of quality. REREC will verify quality during pre-payment inspections.
<b>2.</b>	ITT 13.1 (i) - 19,20,21	Whether the requirement for manufacturer's authorization and warranty is intended to apply only to specific materials e.g. paint products and if so, which items explicitly require manufacturer certification.	The requirement for Manufacturer's Authorization and Warranty is mandatory for specific materials that have formal industry certifications, such as the various paint systems (oil-based, acrylic, etc.) used for vehicle and office branding. For general fabrication works (e.g., steel, aluminum, PVC materials for ID cards), alternative proof of material quality will be acceptable. Bidders may submit technical datasheets, supplier warranties, or compliance certificates showing adherence to Kenya Bureau of Standards (KEBS) specifications. The Corporation will verify quality through inspection of fabricated and installed items before payment is initiated.
<b>3.</b>	ITT 13.1 (i) - 19,20,21	Whether alternative proof of material quality (e.g. technical datasheets, supplier warranties or compliance certificates) would be acceptable where a formal manufacturer's authorization is not applicable.	Refer to 2 above

<b>4.</b>	Question 4 ITT 13.1 (i) - 14	Whether the tender is structured into distinct lots and if so where these lots are defined within the tender document.	The instruction has been amended as follows; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Number and identification of lots is not applicable</li> <li>• Submission of a dully filled signed and stamped the Summary to the Bills of Quantities for the LOTS interested in. is deleted</li> </ul>
<b>5.</b>	Question 5 ITT 13.1 (i) - 14	Whether bidders are expected to submit separate Bills of Quantities summaries per lot or submit one consolidated summary to the Bills of Quantities covering the full scope of services.	Please refer to clarification 4 above
<b>6.</b>	Question 6 ITT 13.1 (i) - 14	Whether bidders may bid for specific components only or whether the tender is to be evaluated as a single package	Tender will be evaluated as a single package